



# UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE  
United States Patent and Trademark Office  
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS  
P.O. Box 1450  
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450  
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
-----------------	-------------	----------------------	---------------------	------------------

10/674,875

09/29/2003

Bernard Rummel

09700.0063-00

9206

60668

7590

02/03/2009

SAP / FINNEGAN, HENDERSON LLP  
901 NEW YORK AVENUE, NW  
WASHINGTON, DC 20001-4413

EXAMINER

KE, PENG

ART UNIT

PAPER NUMBER

2174

MAIL DATE

DELIVERY MODE

02/03/2009

PAPER

**Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.**

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

<b>Office Action Summary</b>	<b>Application No.</b> 10/674,875	<b>Applicant(s)</b> RUMMEL ET AL.	
	<b>Examiner</b> SIMON KE	<b>Art Unit</b> 2174	

-- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --

### Period for Reply

A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.

- Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).

### Status

- 1) ☒ Responsive to communication(s) filed on 07 November 2008.
- 2a) ☐ This action is **FINAL**.                      2b) ☒ This action is non-final.
- 3) ☐ Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under *Ex parte Quayle*, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.

### Disposition of Claims

- 4) ☒ Claim(s) 1,2,4-9 and 11-15 is/are pending in the application.
- 4a) Of the above claim(s) \_\_\_\_\_ is/are withdrawn from consideration.
- 5) ☐ Claim(s) \_\_\_\_\_ is/are allowed.
- 6) ☒ Claim(s) 1,2,4-9 and 11-15 is/are rejected.
- 7) ☐ Claim(s) \_\_\_\_\_ is/are objected to.
- 8) ☐ Claim(s) \_\_\_\_\_ are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.

### Application Papers

- 9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.
- 10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on \_\_\_\_\_ is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.  
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).  
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).
- 11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.

### Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119

- 12) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).
- a) ☐ All    b) ☐ Some \*    c) ☐ None of:
1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.
2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. \_\_\_\_\_.
3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).

\* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.

### Attachment(s)

- |  |   |
|--|---|
| 1) <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)          | 4) <input type="checkbox"/> Interview Summary (PTO-413)           |
| 2) <input type="checkbox"/> Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) | Paper No(s)/Mail Date. _____                                      |
| 3) <input type="checkbox"/> Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08)          | 5) <input type="checkbox"/> Notice of Informal Patent Application |
| Paper No(s)/Mail Date _____  | 6) <input type="checkbox"/> Other: _____                          |

Art Unit: 2174

### **DETAILED ACTION**

This action is responsive to communications: Amendment, filed on 11/07/08.

Claims 1, 2, 4-9, and 11-15 are pending in this application. Claims 1, 8, and 15 are independent claims. In the Amendment, filed on 11/07/08, claims 1, 8, and 15 were amended.

### ***Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112***

The following is a quotation of the first paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall contain a written description of the invention, and of the manner and process of making and using it, in such full, clear, concise, and exact terms as to enable any person skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to make and use the same and shall set forth the best mode contemplated by the inventor of carrying out his invention.

MPEP 2173.05(i)

Any negative limitation or exclusionary proviso must have basis in the original disclosure.

If alternative elements are positively recited in the specification, they may be explicitly excluded in the claims. See *In re Johnson*, 558 F.2d 1008, 1019, 194 USPQ 187, 196 (CCPA 1977) (“[the] specification, having described the whole, necessarily described the part remaining.”). See also *Ex parte Grasselli*, 231 USPQ 393 (Bd. App. 1983), *aff’d* mem., 738 F.2d 453 (Fed. Cir. 1984). The mere absence of a positive recitation is not basis for an exclusion. Any claim containing a negative limitation which does not have basis in the original disclosure should be rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph, as failing to comply with the written description requirement. Note that a lack of literal basis in the specification for a negative limitation may not be sufficient to establish a *prima facie* case for lack of descriptive support. *Ex parte Parks*, 30 USPQ2d 1234, 1236 (Bd. Pat. App. & Inter. 1993). See MPEP § 2163 - § 2163.07(b) for a

Art Unit: 2174

discussion of the written description requirement of 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph.

Claims 1-15 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph, as failing to comply with the written description requirement. The claim(s) contains subject matter which was not described in the specification in such a way as to reasonably convey to one skilled in the relevant art that the inventor(s), at the time the application was filed, had possession of the claimed invention.

Claims 1, 8, and 15 recite “receive navigation input, other than the key used to establish the normal and decoupled mode.”

### ***Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103***

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negated by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 1-2, 4-9, 11-15 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Coleman et al. ("Coleman" US Patent No. 5,828,374) in view of Schirmer et al. ("Schirmer" US Patent No. 6,829,615) and Shalit et al. ("Shalit" US Patent No. 5,714,971) Coleman et al. ("Coleman II" US Patent No. 6,262,732) in view of Zatloukal US Publication 2003/0073462.

Regarding independent claim 1, Coleman teaches a computer program product (FIGURE 1, col. 7 lines 52-55 of Coleman), tangibly embodied in an information carrier (50, 52, 55, 60, 62

Art Unit: 2174

of Coleman), comprising instructions operable to cause data processing apparatus (52, col. 7 lines 45-47 of Coleman) to:

display application data (138, col. 9 lines 41-45 of Coleman) in user interface elements (i.e. 176, "working area" 145, 150 of Coleman), the user interface elements comprising two or more independent elements (176, "plurality of letters" col. 11 lines 4-7 of Coleman) and one or more dependent elements (170, col. 9 lines 53-55 of Coleman), where one of the independent elements (176, "plurality of letters" col. 11 lines 4-7 of Coleman) can have the property of being the selected element (175, col. 9 lines 60-64, col. 10 lines 7-8 of Coleman), and where the application data displayed in the dependent elements, (170, col. 9 lines 60-62 of Coleman) is made to correspond to the application data displayed in the selected element (170, 175, 176, col. 10 lines 7-8 of Coleman),

receive user input from a user (col. 11 lines 20-28 of Coleman)

receive navigation input ("dragging the cursor and slider" col. 11 lines 20-23 of Coleman), to navigate from one user interface element to another user interface element ("over the desired letter" col. 11 lines 20-23 of Coleman), where in the normal mode ("releases switch" col. 11 lines 20~23 of Coleman), navigation to an independent element ("over the desired letter" col. 11 lines 20-23 of Coleman), causing the independent element to become the selected element ("a letter may be selected by ..." col. 11 lines 20-23 of Coleman), and where in the decoupled mode ("depressing switch" col. 11 lines 20-23 of Coleman), navigation to an independent element ("dragging the cursor and slider over the desired letter" col. 11 lines 20-23 of Coleman) does not change which, if any, of the independent elements ("plurality of letters" col. 11 lines 4-7 of Coleman) is the selected element ("at which point the user releases the

Art Unit: 2174

switch" col. 11 lines 20-23 of Coleman, also note element not selected beneath cursor 44 in FIGURE 5 of Coleman).

Coleman does not teach navigation to an independent element with the navigation input is sufficient to cause the independent element to become the selected element or navigation input distinct from the user input.

Schirmer teaches navigation to an independent element with the navigation input is sufficient to cause the independent element to become the selected element (i.e. selected independent elements from navigation input in FIGS. 6-9 of Schirmer).

It would have been obvious to an artisan at the time of the invention to combine the selected independent elements from navigation input of Schirmer with the user interface elements of Coleman "to filter a list of data objects according to selected attribute values or entries" (col. 3 lines 42-43 of Schirmer). Neither Coleman nor Schirmer teaches the selected element or navigation input distinct from the user input.

Shalit teaches the selected element or navigation input distinct from the user input (i.e. clicked-on selected element (seen highlighted in "box") dragged without details being displayed in window, as seen in FIGS. 2B-2C and 3A-3C of Shalit).

It would have been obvious to an artisan at the time of the invention to combine the distinct navigation input of Shalit with the user interface elements of Coleman and the selected independent elements from navigation input of Schirmer so that "to view the contents of an object, you have ... choices" (col. 5 lines 34-35 of Shalit).

However, they fail to a decoupled mode when a key is pressed and held by the user, and to establish a normal mode when the key is released by the user.

Art Unit: 2174

Coleman II a decoupled mode when a key is pressed and held by the user, and to establish a normal mode when the key is released by the user; (see Coleman II col. 4, lines 1-15 ; it is inherent during a drag and drop operation no other item can be selected to be manipulated therefore drag and drop is decoupled mode)

It would have been obvious to an artisan at the time of the invention to combine the distinct navigation input of Coleman II with the user interface elements of Coleman, Schirmer, and Shalit in order to provide user with a drag drop operation.

However, they fail to teach navigation input is other than the key user to establish the normal and decoupled modes.

Zatloukal teaches navigation input is other than the key user to establish the normal and decoupled modes. (see Zatloukal, paragraph 0026)

It would have been obvious to an artisan at the time of the invention to combine the distinct navigation input of Zatloukal with the user interface elements of Coleman, Schirmer, Shalit, and ColemanII in order to provide user with mode switch key.

As to claim 8, Coleman teaches a computer implemented method (FIGURES 18- 19d of Coleman), comprising:

displaying application data in user interface elements (i.e. 176, "working area" 145, 150 of Coleman), the user interface elements comprising two or more independent elements (176,

Art Unit: 2174

"plurality of letters" col. 11 lines 4-7 of Coleman) and one or more dependent elements (170, col. 9 lines 53-55 of Coleman), where one of the independent elements (176, "plurality of letters" col. 11 lines 4-7 of Coleman) can have the property of being the selected element (175, col. 9 lines 6-64, col. 10 lines 7-8 of Coleman), and where the application data displayed in the dependent elements (170, col. 9 lines 60-62 of Coleman) is made to correspond to the application data displayed in the selected element (170, 175, 176, col. 10 lines 7-8 of Coleman),

receiving user input from a user (col. 11 lines 20-28 of Coleman)

receiving navigation input ("dragging the cursor and slider" col. 11 lines 20-23 of Coleman), where in the normal mode ("releases switch" col. 11 lines 20-23 of Coleman), navigation to an independent element ("over the desired letter" col. 11 lines 20-23 of Coleman) causes the independent element to become the selected element ("a letter may be selected by ..." col. 11 lines 20-23 of Coleman), and where in the decoupled mode ("depressing switch" col. 11 lines 20-23 of Coleman), navigation to an independent element ("dragging the cursor and slider over the desired letter" col. 11 lines 20-23 of Coleman) does not change which, if any, of the independent elements ("plurality of letters" col. 11 lines 4-7 of Coleman) is the selected element ("at which point the user releases the switch" col. 11 lines 20-23 of Coleman, also note element not selected beneath cursor 44 in FIGURE 5 of Coleman).

Coleman does not teach navigation to an independent element with the navigation input is sufficient to cause the independent element to become the selected element or navigation input distinct from the user input.



Art Unit: 2174

Schirmer teaches navigation to an independent element with the navigation input is sufficient to cause the independent element to become the selected element (i.e. selected independent elements from navigation input in FIGS. 6-9 of Schirmer).

It would have been obvious to an artisan at the time of the invention to combine the selected independent elements from navigation input of Schirmer with the user interface elements of Coleman "to filter a list of data objects according to selected attribute values or entries" (col. 3 lines 42-43 of Schirmer). Neither Coleman nor Schirmer teaches the selected element or navigation input distinct from the user input.

Shalit teaches the selected element or navigation input distinct from the user input (i.e. clicked-on selected element (seen highlighted in "box") dragged without details being displayed in window, (as see in FIGS. 2B-2C and 3A-3C of Shalit). It would have been obvious to an artisan at the time of the invention to combine the distinct navigation input of Shalit with the user interface elements of Coleman and the selected independent elements from navigation input of Schirmer so that "to view the contents of an object, you have choices" (col. 5 lines 34-35 of Shalit).

However, they fail to a decoupled mode when a key is pressed and held by the user, and to establish a normal mode when the key is released by the user.

Coleman II a decoupled mode when a key is pressed and held by the user, and to establish a normal mode when the key is released by the user. (see Coleman II col. 4, lines 1-15 ; it is inherent during a drag and drop operation no other item can be selected to be manipulated therefore drag and drop is decoupled mode)

It would have been obvious to an artisan at the time of the invention to combine the distinct navigation input of Coleman II with the user interface elements of Coleman, Schirmer, and Shalit in order to provide user with a comprehensive menu system.

However, they fail to teach navigation input is other than the key user to establish the normal and decoupled modes.

Zatloukal teaches navigation input is other than the key user to establish the normal and decoupled modes. (see Zatloukal, paragraph 0026)

It would have been obvious to an artisan at the time of the invention to combine the distinct navigation input of Zatloukal with the user interface elements of Coleman, Schirmer, Shalit, and ColemanII in order to provide user with mode switch key.

As to claim 15, Coleman teaches an apparatus comprising:

means for displaying application data (138, col. 9 lines 41-45 of Coleman) in user interface elements (i.e. 176, "working area" 145, 150 of Coleman), the user interface elements comprising two or more independent elements (176, "plurality of letters" col. 11 lines 4-7 of Coleman) and one or more dependent elements (170, col. 9 lines 53-55 of Coleman), where one of the independent elements (176, "plurality of letters" col. 11 lines 4-7 of Coleman) can have the property of being the selected element (175, col. 9 lines 60-64 of Coleman, col. 10 lines 7-8 of Coleman), and where the application data displayed in the dependent elements (170, col. 9

Art Unit: 2174

lines 60-62 of Coleman) is made to correspond to the application data displayed in the selected element (170, 175, 176, col. 10 lines 7-8 of Coleman),

means for receiving user input from a user (col. 11 lines 20-28 of Coleman)

means for receiving navigation input ("dragging the cursor and slider" col. 11 lines 20-23 of Coleman), where in the normal mode ("releases switch" col. 11 lines 20-23 of Coleman), navigation to an independent element ("dragging the cursor and slider over the desired letter" col. 11 lines 20-23 of Coleman) causes the independent element to become the selected element ("a letter may be selected by ..." col. 11 lines 20-23 of Coleman), and where in the decoupled mode ("depressing switch" col. 11 lines 20-23 of Coleman), navigation to an independent element ("dragging the cursor and slider over the desired letter" col. 11 lines 20-23 of Coleman) does not change which, if any, of the independent elements ("plurality of letters" col. 11 lines 4-7 of Coleman) is the selected element ("at which point the user releases the switch" col. 11 lines 20-23 of Coleman, also note element not selected beneath cursor 44 in FIGURE 5 of Coleman).

Coleman does not teach navigation to an independent element with the navigation input is sufficient to cause the independent element to become the selected element or navigation input distinct from the user input.

Schirmer teaches navigation to an independent element with the navigation input is sufficient to cause the independent element to become the selected element (i.e. selected independent elements from navigation input in FIGS. 6-9 of Schirmer).

It would have been obvious to an artisan at the time of the invention to combine the selected independent elements from navigation input of Schirmer with the user interface

Art Unit: 2174

elements of Coleman "to filter a list of data objects according to selected attribute values or entries" (col. 3 lines 42-43 of Schirmer). Neither Coleman nor Schirmer teaches the selected element or navigation input distinct from the user input.

Shalit teaches the selected element or navigation input distinct from the user input (i.e. clicked-on selected element (seen highlighted in "box") dragged without details being displayed in window, as seen in FIGS. 2B-2C and 3A-3C of Shalit).

It would have been obvious to an artisan at the time of the invention to combine the distinct navigation input of Shalit with the user interface elements of Coleman and the selected independent elements from navigation input of Schirmer so that "to view the contents of an object, you have ... choices" (col. 5 lines 34-35 of Shalit).

However, they fail to a decoupled mode when a key is pressed and held by the user, and to establish a normal mode when the key is released by the user.

Coleman II a decoupled mode when a key is pressed and held by the user, and to establish a normal mode when the key is released by the user; (see Coleman II col. 4, lines 1-15 ; it is inherent during a drag and drop operation no other item can be selected to be manipulated therefore drag and drop is decoupled mode)

It would have been obvious to an artisan at the time of the invention to combine the distinct navigation input of Coleman II with the user interface elements of Coleman, Schirmer, and Shalit in order to provide user with a comprehensive menu system.

However, they fail to teach navigation input is other than the key user to establish the normal and decoupled modes.

Art Unit: 2174

Zatloukal teaches navigation input is other than the key user to establish the normal and decoupled modes. (see Zatloukal, paragraph 0026)

It would have been obvious to an artisan at the time of the invention to combine the distinct navigation input of Zatloukal with the user interface elements of Coleman, Schirmer, Shalit, and ColemanII in order to provide user with mode switch key.

As to claim 2, see the analysis of claim 1 above. Coleman, in combination with Schirmer, Shalit, Coleman II, and Zatloukal teaches the computer program product of claim 1, further comprising instructions operable to: receive user input from a user ("dragging the cursor and slider" col. 11 lines 20-23 of Coleman) switching to the normal mode ("releases switch" col. 11 lines 20-23 of Coleman) from the decoupled mode ("depressing switch" col. 11 lines 20-23 of Coleman) and thereupon cause the independent element specified by the most recently received navigation input ("over the desired letter" col. 11 lines 20-23 of Coleman) to become the selected element ("a letter may be selected by ..." col. 11 lines 20-23 of Coleman).

As to claim 4, see the analysis of claim 1 above. Coleman, in combination with Schirmer Shalit, Coleman II, and Zatloukal teach the computer program product of claim 3, wherein the key (e.g. 175 "F" in FIGURES 9-11, see also col. 11 lines 24-28 of Coleman) comprises a control key on a keyboard ("cursor control means includes ... a switch having a first position and

Art Unit: 2174

second position ... such as ... keyboard inputs" col. 7 lines 1-10 of Coleman).

As to claim 5, see the analysis of claim 1 above. Coleman, in combination with Schirmer Shalit, Coleman II, and Zatloukal teaches the computer program product of claim 1, further comprising instructions operable to: display application data in a table (i.e. table within "access window" 130 of Coleman) having two or more rows (i.e. row for "alpha scroll bar" 176 and rows for "topic area" 160 of Coleman) and one or more detail views (i.e. "working area" 145, 150 of Coleman), the rows being the independent elements and the one or more detail views being the dependent elements (i.e. note how dependent elements in detail view including "files" displayed when independent element "F" selected in Coleman), where if one of the rows (i.e. row for "alpha scroll bar" 176 and rows for "topic area" 160 of Coleman) is the selected element (i.e. "F" and "files" respectively in FIGURES 10-11 of Coleman), the application data displayed in the detail views (i.e. "index entries" (i.e. "files" in FIGURES 10-11 of Coleman) and "phrases" (i.e. "find a file or folder?." in FIGURE 11) respectively in figures 10-11 of Coleman) is made to correspond to the application data displayed in the selected element (i.e. all "index entries" related to "F" are displayed, all "phrases" (i.e. "find a file or folder?." in FIGURE 11) related to "files" are displayed in Coleman).

As to claim 6, see the analysis of claim 1 above. Coleman, in combination with Schirmer Shalit, Coleman II, and Zatloukal teaches the computer program product of claim 1, wherein the dependent elements (i.e. "working area" 145, 150 of Coleman) include first level elements ("index entries" FIGURES 10-11 of Coleman) and second level elements ("phrase" FIGURES

Art Unit: 2174

10-11 of Coleman), where one of the first level elements can have the property of being the first level selected element (i.e. "files" in FIGURES 10-11 of Coleman), and where the application data displayed in the second level elements is made to correspond to the application data displayed in the first level selected element (i.e. "How do I ... find a file or folder?." in FIGURE 11 of Coleman), further comprising

instructions operable to: receive user input to navigate to first level elements (i.e. "placement of the cursor 44 over an entry" col. 10 lines 9-10 of Coleman), where in the normal mode (i.e. "momentary clicking of the switch on the mouse col. 10 lines" 10-11 of Coleman), navigation to a first level element (i.e. "placement of the cursor over an entry" col. 10 lines 9-10 of Coleman) causes the first level element to become the selected element (i.e. note outline around "files" in figures 10-11 of Coleman), and where in the decoupled mode ("user releases the switch on the mouse" col. 10 lines 6-7 of Coleman), navigation to a first level element ("placement of the cursor over an entry" col. 10 lines 9-10 of Coleman) does not change which, if any, of the first level elements is the selected element (i.e. note element not selected beneath cursor 44 in FIGURE 5 of Coleman).

As to claim 7, see the analysis of claim 6 above. Coleman, in combination with Schirmer, Shalit, Coleman II, and Zatloukal teaches the computer program product of claim 6, further comprising instructions operable to: receive user input (i.e. "placement of the cursor over an entry" col. 10 lines 9-10 of Coleman) from a user switching to the normal mode (i.e. "momentary clicking of the switch on the mouse col. 10 lines" 10-11 of Coleman) from the decoupled mode

Art Unit: 2174

("user releases the switch on the mouse" col. 10 lines 6-7 of Coleman) and thereupon cause the first level element specified by the most recently received navigation input (i.e. "placement of the cursor over an entry" col. 10 lines 9-10 of Coleman) to become the first level selected element (i.e. note cursor 44 selecting "files" in FIGURE 10 of Coleman).

As to claim 9, see the analysis of claim 8 above. Coleman, in combination with Schirmer, Shalit, Coleman II, and Zatloukal teaches the method of claim 8, further comprising: receiving user input from a user ("dragging the cursor and slider" col. 11 lines 20-23 of Coleman) switching to the normal mode ("releases switch" col. 11 lines 20-23 of Coleman) from the decoupled mode ("depressing switch" col. 11 lines 20-23 of Coleman) and thereupon cause the independent element specified by the most recently received navigation input ("over the desired letter" col. 11 lines 20-23 of Coleman) to become the selected element ("a letter may be selected by ..." col. 11 lines 20-23 of Coleman).

As to claim 11, see the analysis of claim 8 above. Coleman, in combination with Schirmer, Shalit, Coleman II, and Zatloukal teach the method of claim 10, wherein the key (e.g. 175 "F" in FIGURES 9-11 of Coleman, see also col. 11 lines 24-28 of Coleman) comprises a control key on a keyboard ("cursor control means includes ... a switch having a first position and second position ... such as ... keyboard inputs" col. 7 lines 1-10 of Coleman).

As to claim 12, see the analysis of claim 8 above. Coleman, in combination with Schirmer, Shalit, Coleman II, and Zatloukal teaches the method of claim 8, further comprising: displaying application data in a table (i.e. table within "access window" 130 of Coleman) having



Art Unit: 2174

two or more rows (i.e. row for "alpha scroll bar" 176 and rows for "topic area" 160 of Coleman) and one or more detail views (i.e. "working area" 145, 150 of Coleman), the rows being the independent elements and the one or more detail views being the dependent elements (i.e. note how dependent elements in detail view including "files" displayed when independent element "F" selected in Coleman), where if one of the rows (i.e. row for "alpha scroll bar" 176 and rows for "topic area" 160 of Coleman) is the selected element (i.e. "F" and "files" respectively in FIGURES 10-11 of Coleman), the application data displayed in the detail views (i.e. "index entries" (i.e. "files" in FIGURES 10-11 of Coleman) and "phrases" (i.e. "find a file or folder?" in FIGURE 11) respectively in figures 10-11 of Coleman) is made to correspond to the application data displayed in the selected element (i.e. all "index entries" related to "F" are displayed, all "phrases" (i.e. "find a file or folder?" in FIGURE 11 of Coleman) related to "files" are displayed).

As to claim 13, see the analysis of claim 8 above. Coleman, in combination with Schirmer, Shalit, Coleman II, and Zatloukal teaches the method of claim 8, wherein the dependent elements (i.e. "working area" 145, 150 of Coleman) include first level elements ("index entries" FIGURES 10-11 of Coleman) and second level elements ("phrase" FIGURES 10-11 of Coleman), where one of the first level elements can have the property of being the first level selected element (i.e. "files" in FIGURES 10-11 of Coleman), and where the application data displayed in the second level elements is made to correspond to the application data displayed in the first level selected element (i.e. "How do I ... find a file or folder?." in FIGURE 11 of Coleman), the method further comprising: receiving user input to navigate to first level

Art Unit: 2174

elements (i.e. "placement of the cursor 44 over an entry" col. 10 lines 9-10 of Coleman) where in the normal mode (i.e. "momentary clicking of the switch on the mouse col. 10 lines" 10-11 of Coleman), navigation to a first level element ("placement of the cursor over an entry" col. 10 lines 9-10 of Coleman) causes the first level element to become the selected element (i.e. note outline around "files" in figures 10-11 of Coleman), and where in the decoupled mode ("user releases the switch on the mouse" col. 10 lines 6-7 of Coleman), navigation to a first level element ("placement of the cursor over an entry" col. 10 lines 9-10 of Coleman) does not change which, if any, of the first level elements is the selected element (i.e. note element not selected beneath cursor 44 in FIGURE 5 of Coleman).

As to claim 14, see the analysis of claim 13 above. Coleman, in combination with Schirmer, Shalit, Coleman II, and Zatloukal teaches the method of claim 13, further comprising: receiving user input from a user (i.e. "placement of the cursor over an entry" col. 10 lines 9-10 of Coleman) switching to the normal mode (i.e. "momentary clicking of the switch on the mouse col. 10 lines," 10-11 of Coleman) from the decoupled mode ("user releases the switch on the mouse" col. 10 lines 6-7 of Coleman) and thereupon cause the first level element specified by the most recently received navigation input (i.e. "placement of the cursor over an entry" col. 10 lines 9-10 of Coleman) to become the first level selected element (i.e. note cursor 44 selecting "files" in FIGURE 10 of Coleman).

Art Unit: 2174

***Response to Arguments***

Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 1, 2, 4-9, and 11-15 have been considered but are moot in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

***Contact Information***

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to SIMON KE whose telephone number is (571)272-4062. The examiner can normally be reached on M-Th and Alternate Fridays 8:30-5:00.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Stephen S. Hong can be reached on (571) 272-4124. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see <http://pair-direct.uspto.gov>. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

Peng Ke  
/Peng Ke/  
Primary Examiner, Art Unit 2174